	within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
1	NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC.
2	RPTS MILLER
3	HIF081160
4	
5	
6	LEGISLATIVE HEARING ON FOUR
7	TELECOMMUNICATIONS BILLS
8	THURSDAY, MARCH 22, 2018
9	House of Representatives
10	Subcommittee on Communications and
11	Technology
12	Committee on Energy and Commerce
13	Washington, D.C.
14	
15	
16	
17	The subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:15 a.m., in
18	Room 2322 Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Marsha Blackburn
19	[chairman of the subcommittee] presiding.
20	Members present: Representatives Blackburn, Lance, Shimkus,
21	Latta, Olson, Kinzinger, Bilirakis, Johnson, Flores, Brooks,
22	Collins, Costello, Doyle, Welch, Loebsack, Eshoo, Engel, Matsui,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	y be inaccura	ite, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	2.	

23 McNerney, and Pallone (ex officio)	23	McNerney,	and	Pallone	(ex	officio)
---------------------------------------	----	-----------	-----	---------	-----	---------	---

2.4

25

26

27

29

31

38

Also present: Representatives Tonko, Schrader, and Stewart.

Staff present: Jon Adame, Policy Coordinator,

Communications and Technology; Robin Colwell, Chief Counsel,

Communications and Technology; Sean Farrell, Professional Staff

28 Member, Communications and Technology; Adam Fromm, Director of

Outreach and Coalitions; Elena Hernandez, Press Secretary; Tim

30 Kurth, Deputy Chief Counsel, Communications and Technology;

Lauren McCarty, Counsel, Communications and Technology; Austin

32 | Stonebraker, Press Assistant; Evan Viau, Legislative Clerk,

33 | Communications and Technology; Jeff Carroll, Minority Staff

34 Director; Jennifer Epperson, Minority FCC Detailee; David

35 | Goldman, Minority Chief Counsel, Communications and Technology;

36 Tiffany Guarascio, Minority Deputy Staff Director and Chief

37 | Health Advisor; Jerry Leverich, Minority Counsel; Jourdan Lewis,

Minority Staff Assistant; Dan Miller, Minority Policy Analyst;

39 and C.J. Young, Minority Press Secretary.

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	y be inaccura	te, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e .	_

Mr. Shimkus. [Presiding.] We are going to call the hearing to order and get our panelists to take seats. That's to help us get our arrangement for how we ask questions. They've called votes. So we are going to adjourn -- I mean, recess.

We are going to go vote and then we are going to come back. So you can keep walking around. But your place in line has been saved.

So with that --

[Recess.]

Mrs. Blackburn. [Presiding.] All right. The committee will reconvene, and I recognize myself for five minutes for an opening statement.

And I want to welcome you all. I apologize to everyone. We thought we had votes at 10:00 and then it was going to be 10:10 and come on back over here -- you know, it's just one of those days -- a get away day, an omnibus day, and we are going to go ahead and start this hearing because you never know when the bell is going to go off.

A little less than two months ago, we did our first legislative hearing. This is our second. We sat here in this room discussing 25 pieces of legislation addressing broadband infrastructure, representing all the views on the table.

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	y be inaccura	te, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e .	_

Last month, we shocked the naysayers by shepherding many of the subcommittee's top priorities through the full committee unanimously in RAY BAUM'S Act.

Today, just as that package is about to head to the president's desk, we've got four more bipartisan bills that address everything from combating illegal pirate radio to identifying ways technology can help prevent suicide across the country.

I couldn't be more pleased with the work of members of the subcommittee and all the important initiatives we've gotten some work going on this year.

I'd also like to commend Congressman Stewart on being a champion for the National Suicide Hotline Improvement Act, which currently has 78 co-sponsors, including seven of our subcommittee members: Bilirakis, Clarke, Eshoo, Flores, McKinley, Rush, and Tonko.

Suicide is the tenth leading cause of death in Tennessee, and this legislation would make it easier for those facing a mental crisis to get the help they need with a dedicated N11 number.

We'll also be discussing Mr. McKinley and Mr. Welch's bill, the Rural Reasonable and Comparable Wireless Access Act, which takes a new perspective on getting wireless broadband out to rural

62

6.3

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	ay be inaccura	te, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comm	nittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e .	

84 | areas.

8.5

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

This subcommittee has long been looking for ways to close the digital divide, and today we have got another potential solution -- bipartisan, I will add.

And speaking of infrastructure, another bill which could help spur investment in broadband infrastructure is Mr. Latta and Mr. Schrader's Small Entity Regulatory Relief Opportunity Act, or SERRO.

Small entities across the country, regardless of technology, face miles of red tape at the FCC to comply with regulations designed for large providers.

Money that those small, often rural entities spend on complying with regulations is money that could be used for investing in broadband deployment, and it's important for us and the Commission to keep this in mind instead of assuming that one size should fit all in every case.

Finally, we'll be discussing Mr. Lance and Mr. Tonko's PIRATE Act, which many members of the subcommittee have worked on, including Mr. Collins, Tonko, Bilirakis, Green, Moulton, King, and Dingell.

Illegal pirate radio disrupts access to important public safety communications, including our nation's Emergency Alert

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	ay be inaccura	ite, incom	plete, or mis	attribu	ited to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will be	e posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is availabl	e.	

System and critical aviation frequencies. These illegal broadcasts deprive Americans of important programming provided by legitimate license-holders serving the public interest.

It's high time we pay more attention to the harm being done to consumers and broadcasters alike.

I'd like to thank our witnesses for being here, and Mr. Lance is not here so would anyone like the one minute?

Mr. Latta, you're recognized.

Mr. Latta. Well, thank you, Madam Chair, and I appreciate you holding today's hearing on these four bills, including my own, the Small Entity Regulatory Relief Opportunity Act, or SERRO.

Recognizing that small businesses are the engines of our economy and do not require the same level of regulatory oversight as large entities, the gentleman from Oregon, Mr. Schrader, and I put forth a common sense proposal to create a regulatory environment that encourages innovation, spurs competition, and fosters consumer choice.

SERRO offers a pathway for regulatory relief for small entities by directing the FCC to streamline their existing wavier process. This will benefit small business and their customers by providing greater certainty, fewer costs and administrative efficiency.

This is a prel	liminary, unedit	ed transcript.	The statements
within may be	inaccurate, inco	mplete, or mi	sattributed to the
speaker. A lin	k to the final, off	icial transcrip	t will be posted on
the Committee'	s website as soon	as it is availab	le.

Since introducing H.R. 3787, Mr. Schrader and I have made countless efforts to consider all stakeholder feedback and input and today's discussion is a continuation of those efforts.

I look forward to hearing from our panelists and I thank the gentlelady, the chair of the subcommittee, for yielding.

Thank you.

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.

Mr. Doyle, you're recognized.

Mr. Doyle. Thank you, Madam Chair, for holding this hearing and thank you to the witnesses for appearing before us today.

Today we are considering four pieces of legislation. In particular, I am happy to see before us a bill by my good friend, Peter Welch, H.R. 2903, the Rural Reasonable and Comparable Wireless Act.

This bipartisan legislation seeks to establish national standards for mobile service, mobile data service, and broadband services in rural America that are comparable to those in urban America.

I know this is an issue that my friend and colleague is very passionate about, and as this bill points out, under the Communications Act, Congress tasked the FCC with ensuring that rural areas had similar access and availability of service as

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	y be inaccura	te, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the fi	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	2.	_

their urban counterparts.

But I don't have to tell anyone here that we have fallen short of that goal. Get on a highway that isn't the I-95 corridor and wireless service gets spotty fast.

Or move from Pittsburgh or D.C. to rural Tennessee and try to get fiber internet. We need to make sure that people in rural America can get the same kind of widespread high-speed access as we have in urban areas and along urban corridors.

I am proud the committee Democrats have proposed a plan with Ranking Member Pallone's LIFT America Act that seeks to close this gap with a \$40 billion investment in capital investments.

Congressman Lance and I have also introduced the AIRWAVES Act along with a number of our colleagues on both sides of the aisle that sets aside 10 percent of the revenue from the spectrum auctions set out in the bill for deployment of broadband infrastructure in unserved and under served communities in rural America.

Another bill we have under discussion today is Congresswoman Bernice Johnson's National Suicide Hotline Improvement Act, which would require the FCC to work in coordination with SAMHSA to explore the feasibility of a three-digit dialing code similar to 911 or 311 for suicide prevention.

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	y be inaccura	te, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the fi	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e .	

This legislation passed by UC in the Senate, a seemingly rare feat these days, and I hope we can continue to move this important legislation forward.

We are also discussing a bill on unlicensed radio broadcasts today, and while I have heard anecdotes that there is a problem on the rise in major cities like New York and Miami, I am concerned that the proposed solution is to increase fines for these broadcasts tenfold.

Years ago, I worked with Congressman Lee Terry on the Low Power FM Radio legislation. We saw that there was an issue of illegal broadcasts but also that there were many -- there weren't many opportunities for communities around the country to express themselves on the air.

We sought to address this by increasing the opportunities available to these communities by opening a low power FM application window, which resulted in thousands of new stations across the country.

As we consider this legislation, I think we need to balance the legitimate concerns of broadcast licensees with the limited opportunities for expression available to some communities.

My hope is as we consider this bill we can take an approach that addresses both groups' needs.

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The statements
within ma	y be inaccura	te, incom	plete, or mis	attributed to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will be posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is availabl	e.

And the last bill we are considering today is Congressman's Latta's H.R. 3787, the Small Entity Regulatory Relief Opportunity Act.

I am very concerned about this bill. The way that it is drafted would open up a huge regulatory hole at the FCC and would enable companies with over a billion dollars in revenue to be exempted from a wide range of rules intended to protect consumers and, to be honest, small businesses as well.

I am very skeptical about the merits and need for this legislation.

With that, Madam Chair, I want to yield they balance of my time to my good friend and colleague, Mr. Welch.

Mr. Welch. Thank you very much.

You know, as we sit here today we all know that rural broadband infrastructure is insufficient and rural America is being left behind.

The FCC, in my view, is not meeting its congressionally-mandated goal, which is ensuring rural America has access to, quote, "reasonably comparable service to their urban areas."

We basically haven't had a definition of what reasonable comparable is, and my bill, with Mr. McKinley, is designed to get at this issue and make reasonably comparable real and meaningful

within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
11
in rural America, just like electricity was when we made that
public policy commitment in the 1930s to wire rural America.
The FCC, under this bill, would have to gather data from the
20 most populous metro areas and detail the average signal
strength and speeds of mobile voice and mobile internet services.
It would also require the FCC to determine the extent to which
mobile and fixed broadband service provided in rural areas is
reasonably comparable.
That's what the bill would do. It is absolutely essential
we do that in order to be able to say yes or no, that rural America
has reasonably comparable services.
Thank you, Madam Chair, and thank you, Ranking Member Doyle.
Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.
Mr. Doyle. I yield back.
Mrs. Blackburn. Chairman Walden is not here. Are there
members seeking to claim the chairman's time for an opening?
No one seeking the time?
Mr. Pallone, you're recognized for five minutes for an
opening statement.
Mr. Pallone. Thank you, Madam Chair.
Our hearing today will examine four bipartisan communication

Though communications is the thread that binds them all,

bills.

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	y be inaccura	ite, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e .	

they each touch on vastly different but important issues.

First, I am pleased we are considering the National Suicide Hotline Improvement Act of 2017, which aims to quickly connect individuals experiencing a mental health crisis with a professional.

Suicide is the tenth leading cause of death for people of all ages, and every year hundreds of thousands of people are injured in attempted suicides or other mental health emergencies, and this bill would require the Federal Communications Commission to study how to establish a nationwide three-digit number to access the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline.

With rates of suicide increasing each year, we must do all we can to get support services to those in need, and I'd like to thank one of our witnesses, Mr. Madigan, for all the important work you do at the American Foundation for Suicide Prevention and thanks also for being with us today.

We will also be discussing the Rural Reasonable and Comparable Wireless Access Act introduced by Congressman Welch and McKinley.

This bill would shine a light on the quality of voice and broadband services offered in rural areas. It would direct the FCC to examine whether people in rural communities actually

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The sta	tements
within ma	y be inaccura	ite, incom	plete, or mis	attributed	to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will be po	sted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e	

receive the same level of service as those in urban areas, and the FCC has talked a lot over the past year about improving connections in rural areas.

This bill would require the FCC to collect and analyze the facts on the ground and make sure that it's actually getting the job done.

I am also glad we will be discussing the problems caused by pirate radio broadcasters -- people who broadcast illegally on our public airwaves. Pirate broadcasters flout the law and interfere with the licensed broadcasters who follow the law.

These pirate broadcasts can be frustrating for people but, more critically, they prevent people from hearing important communications and public safety information in times of emergency, and that's simply unacceptable and I look forward to hearing about ways that we can work to solve this problem.

And finally, we will discuss the Small Entity Regulatory
Relief Opportunity Act. While I certainly appreciate the
difficulties faced by small businesses across the country, I have
concerns with the ways this bill would try to solve those problems.

The bill would allow the FCC to roll back or delay consumer protections for subscribers of telecommunications and cable companies that serve as many as 6.5 million customers.

2.61

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	y be inaccura	te, incomp	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e.	

These supposedly small businesses could be larger than 35 states, and many of the millions of customers of the providers have fewer or no choices.

But aside from size, given the current FCC's animosity for consumer protections, I don't think this is the right time for Congress to encourage the agency to strip away more safeguards for millions of people and we would be better off figuring out ways to better protect the American people.

So I look forward to discussion today and hearing from all the witnesses, and I'd like to yield my remaining two minutes to Mr. McNerney.

Mr. McNerney. Well, I thank the ranking member for yielding and I thank the committee for having this hearing today.

I am very glad that we were able to get the Improving Broadband Access for Veterans Act into the omnibus bill and I say this is an important piece of legislation that will set us on a path towards closing the digital divide for veterans. I'd like to thank my colleague, Mr. Kinzinger, for working with me on the bill.

One of the bills before us today would help further achieve the critical goal of closing the digital divide by setting targets for building out high-speed broadband in rural America -- very

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. T	he statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misatt	ributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript wi	ll be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.	

304 | important in my district.

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

Another bill before us would help Americans including veterans when they are in crisis. I am glad these common sense proposals are before us today.

However, I have a concern about one of the bills, the Small Entity Regulatory Relief Opportunity Act. While I am very open to finding ways to streamline compliance for small businesses, I am troubled by the larger trend we are witnessing of protections across the board being eliminated.

I am very concerned that this bill will be another step backwards for consumer protection.

And with that, I'll yield back to the ranking member and to the committee.

Mrs. Blackburn. Gentleman yields back.

This concludes our member opening statements. Members are reminded that all opening statements are made a part of the record.

At this time, I want to welcome our witnesses and give them the opportunity for their opening statements, which will be followed by a round of questions.

We are welcoming Mr. Tim Donovan, vice president of
Legislative Affairs at the Competitive Carriers Association; Mr.
David Donovan, president and executive director of the New York

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within may	y be inaccura	te, incomp	plete, or mis	attribu	uted to the
speaker. A	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will be	e posted or
the Commi	ttee's website	as soon as	it is available	e.	

State Broadcasters Association; Mr. Robert Gessner, president of MCTV; Mr. John Madigan, vice president and chief policy -- public policy officer of the American Foundation for Suicide Preventions; and Ms. Sarah Morris, director of Open Internet Policy at the Open Technology Institute at the New America Foundation.

We appreciate that you are each here today. We will begin today with you, Mr. Tim Donovan, and you are recognized for five minutes for your opening statement.

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

This is	a	preliminary,	unedited	transcrip	t. The	statements
within	ma	y be inaccura	ite, incom	plete, or 1	misattribi	uted to the
speaker	• · •	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcr	ipt will b	e posted on
the Con	nmi	ittee's website	as soon as	it is avail	able.	_

STATEMENTS OF TIM DONOVAN, SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT, LEGISLATIVE

AFFAIRS, COMPETITIVE CARRIERS ASSOCIATION; DAVID DONOVAN,

PRESIDENT AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, NEW YORK STATE BROADCASTERS

ASSOCIATION, INC.; ROBERT GESSNER, PRESIDENT, MCTV; JOHN H.

MADIGAN JR., VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF PUBLIC POLICY OFFICER,

AMERICAN FOUNDATION FOR SUICIDE PREVENTION; SARAH MORRIS,

DIRECTOR OF OPEN INTERNET POLICY, OPEN TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE, NEW

AMERICA FOUNDATION

STATEMENT OF MR. TIM DONOVAN

Mr. Tim Donovan. Thank you.

Chairman Blackburn, Ranking Member Doyle, and members of the subcommittee, thank you for inviting me to testify on meeting Congress' mandate for universal service and policies that will help close the digital divide for mobile connectivity between urban and rural areas.

I am here on behalf of CCA, representing nearly 100 wireless carriers as well as the companies that make up the wireless ecosystem.

All CCA members have an interest in closing the digital divide and the vast majority of CCA members employ the same consumers that live and work in their communities.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	y be inaccura	te, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	е.	

I thank the subcommittee for steadfast efforts to preserve and expand mobile broadband nationwide. We support several committee initiatives included in the Consolidated Appropriations Act and thank the leadership, members, and staff for their hard work and long hours to make that happen.

Today, I will focus primarily on H.R. 2903, the Rural Reasonable and Comparable Wireless Access Act of 2017.

While the title is a mouthful, the underlying issue is critically important -- making sure that rural America has the same opportunities as urban areas, from economic growth and jobs to public safety, health, and education because of access to robust mobile broadband services.

CCA thanks Representatives McKinley, Welch, and their nine bipartisan co-sponsors for focusing on this important issue.

Universal service is not only a good policy objective, it is the law. Congress was clear in its mandate to the FCC to ensure that all consumers have access to reasonably comparable services as those provided in urban areas.

H.R. 2903 will provide important transparency into whether the FCC is meeting the universal service mandate or if work remains by having the FCC promulgate regulations to determine whether services available in rural areas are reasonably comparable to

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The sta	tements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattribute	d to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be p	osted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.	

379 | those in urban areas.

This committee has been hard at work on addressing issues necessary to expand broadband and pave the way to 5G. CCA supports those efforts.

While 5G promises to support services that were once considered science fiction, we cannot neglect Americans living in areas that lack service.

We need not look far to see how H.R. 2903 will immediately support the FCC in its USF mission. The FCC recently released a map depicting areas initially deemed eligible for mobility fund phase two support.

Because the technical parameters selected by the FCC were not sufficient to produce a map that reflects the experience you have as you travel your districts, significant portions of your states may not be eligible for funding through the mobility fund.

It is now clear that standardizing data as directed in the House-passed Rural Wireless Access Act as part of RAY BAUM'S Act is not enough to produce an accurate map if the standard is not sufficiently calibrated to meet the goal of the program.

Final maps for eligible areas must reflect the statute's call for reasonably comparable services. Further, without a set standard, it is not clear that resources allocated by the FCC are

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted or
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

401 | sufficient.

Without a goal, it is not possible to set a budget. H.R. 2903 will help guide funding levels necessary to achieve universal service.

Other important policy decisions also rest on comparable service including access to spectrum and streamlined deployment of infrastructure.

Any evidence that rural Americans do not enjoy comparable services as their urban peers should reinvigorate the need for policymakers to take steps to support deployment.

For example, spectrum is a finite resource and all carriers must have access to low-, mid-, and high-band spectrum to deploy next-generation mobile broadband, whether in urban or rural areas.

It is necessary to make additional spectrum available for all carriers to provide rural areas with the latest services and Congress should first complete the 600 megahertz repack as safely, swiftly, and efficiently as possible to allow winning bidders to put the spectrum to use to serve consumers, and second, auction all available millimeter wave bands as soon as possible.

I thank Representatives Lance, Doyle, and a dozen bipartisan co-sponsors for their leadership on setting time lines to auction

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

this spectrum in the AIRWAVES Act and creating a fund from auction proceeds to support deployment in rural areas. This makes the bill a win-win for rural America.

Carriers cannot provide comparable services without comparable infrastructure and any challenges with cost delays or permitting are magnified in areas with sparse populations.

The goals of H.R. 2903 again demonstrate how important efforts from the FCC and Congress are to support deployment. Separately, CCA appreciates H.R. 3787 sponsored by Representatives Latta and Schrader, also under consideration today.

Smaller carriers already must overcome challenges larger carriers take for granted and any appropriate regulatory relief Congress can provide will allow them to marshal resources to better serve their customers.

With Congress, the FCC, and the administration all focused on closing the digital divide, the time to act is now, and H.R. 2903 provides a yardstick to measure where efforts remain necessary to make sure that rural America is not left behind.

CCA looks forward with you to making the promise of reasonably comparable services a reality as access to mobile broadband becomes even more essential for modern life.

ĺ	the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
l	22
İ	Thank you again for holding today's hearing and I welcome
lows:	any questions. [The prepared statement of Mr. Tim Donovan fold

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the

445

446

447

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

23

Mrs. Blackburn. I thank the gentleman.

450

Mr. Donovan, you're recognized for five minutes.

STATEMENT OF MR. DAVID DONOVAN

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

451

Mr. David Donovan. On behalf of the New York State
Broadcasters Association and along with the National Association
of Broadcasters, I am honored to support the PIRATE Act.

Let me start by thanking Congressman Leonard Lance and Congressman Paul Tonko for their leadership in drafting this legislation. I also want to thank Congressman Chris Collins, who's been a leader on this issue for several years.

But before I begin, I also want to thank the committee for their work in helping to secure repacking funding, which is in today's omnibus, and I truly want to thank you for all your work in that, and also Congressman Pallone for his work in the SANDY Act.

FCC Chairman Pai and Commissioner Mike O'Rielly have made pirate enforcement a priority and I want to recognize Rosemary Harold, who works in the enforcement bureau, and her team for her efforts.

They were on the front lines and their work is essential. But despite these efforts, it's become clear that the FCC needs additional tools to combat this problem and the PIRATE Act provides those tools.

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The sta	tements
within ma	y be inaccura	ite, incom	plete, or mis	attributed	to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will be po	sted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e	

There are hundreds of illegal stations transmitting from balconies and rooftops of residential and commercial buildings across New York City and northern New Jersey. There are more illegal stations in the New York metropolitan area than there are legally licensed stations, and the problem is growing. It is spreading to Boston, it is spreading to Connecticut, and it is spreading throughout the United States.

Pirate disrupt the emergency alert system. Their interference prevents listeners from hearing life-saving information broadcast by legal stations.

Pirates do not participate in the EAS system. So consumers listening to these stations will not hear EAS messages.

But more importantly, they undermine the basic fabric of the entire EAS system, which is premised on one station monitoring another station, and so on down the line, similar to a row of dominoes.

Pirate interference breaks this chain, which means stations who are relying on the EAS messages and consumers listening to those EAS messages will not hear them.

Moreover, in the event of an emergency, whether it's local news or public affairs, that lifesaving information consumers won't hear because of pirate interference.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	y be inaccura	te, incomp	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e.	

Pirates threaten public health. Their transmitters operate and they threaten the health of unsuspecting citizens to RF frequency radiation.

Let me provide you just with a few examples from our engineering analysis in 2016. You have slides before you and they're also appearing on the -- on the board.

What you see before you are pictures of illegal pirate radio stations operating in New Jersey and in New York. But the critical issue here, why I want you to see these stations, is because none of these stations comply with FCC and government RF radiation standards.

They're broadcasting at power levels between 10 and 3,000 watts, and if you look at the slides, included in there is how close you should be to these pirate antennas. And as a result, there are folks who are receiving above government standard levels of RF radiation that can range from 20 to 80 feet.

Now, on the last slide I will also notice it's right next to the East Orange, New Jersey police station, which we found ironic.

But the bottom line is is that if you live in the top floors of these buildings or if you use a rooftop deck, you are being exposed to levels that are above government standards, and this

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. T	The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misatt	ributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript wi	ll be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.	

is occurring in communities throughout New York, throughout New Jersey.

They're occurring in -- we have pirates in Albany. You're seeing them in Connecticut. You're seeing them in Boston.

This requires, we believe, action. But pirates also interfere with airport communications on frequencies assigned to the FAA, creating an extremely dangerous situation. They ignore all consumer protections laws, whether it's sponsorship ID laws, indecency, public file requirements, alcohol and tobacco advertising laws.

They have absolutely flouted all FCC political rules and regulations. Whether it's access to candidates, equal time, all the rules that have been set down by the Federal Communications Commission are flatly ignored.

The PIRATE Act solves this problem. It gives the FCC additional tools. It significantly increases the fines for operating an illegal station. It clarifies existing law with regarding to liability for those who facilitate pirates.

It also provides working with state laws and recognizes those state laws and it streamlines the FCC's enforcement process.

In conclusion, in 2015, 33 members of Congress asked the FCC to increase its pirate radio enforcement. The FCC, under

withi speal	is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements in may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the ker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on
the (Committee's website as soon as it is available.
Comm	ssioner Pai under Chairman Pai have done that. But they
need	more tools.
	The fundamental purpose of the FCC is to manage the spectrum
and a	avoid interference.
	I thank you and look forward to your questions.
	[The prepared statement of Mr. David Donovan follows:]
****	******INSERT 2******

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

29

546

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.

547

Mr. Gessner, five minutes.

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	y be inaccura	ite, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e.	

STATEMENT OF MR. GESSNER

Mr. Gessner. Good morning.

Chairman Blackburn, Ranking Member Doyle, members of the subcommittee, my name is Robert Gessner.

I am president of Massillon Cable TV, a small family-owned broadband and cable company serving 50,000 customers in five Ohio counties. Mostly, really nervous, as this is my first time testifying in any sort of venue.

I also currently serve as chairman of the American Cable Association, which represents more than 700 small and mid-size companies, a mixture of municipalities, telephone companies, electric companies, rural co-ops, as well as cable TV operators.

The majority of ACA members have fewer than 1,000 customers, fewer than 10 employees, and almost none have an attorney on staff.

Despite our small size, we make large investments in our networks to provide critical connectivity to the communities we serve -- typically, rural communities.

And I thank you for inviting me to testify about H.R. 3787, the Small Entity Regulatory Relief Opportunity Act, or SERRO.

SERRO is a narrowly tailored bipartisan bill whose purpose is to streamline the process by which deserving small

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is	a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within r	nay	be inaccura	te, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Com	ımi	ttee's website	as soon as	it is available	e .	

communications entities may request -- and I stress that word request, regulatory relief.

Many regulations at the FCC are one-size-fits-all. Because of our limited size, small entities often are not the source of the specific harms that the FCC is targeting. Now, in theory, the FCC waiver process gives small entities an opportunity to show good cause for an exemption or a delay in the application of a one-size-fits-all rule.

But, in practice, deserving small entities often are deterred from seeking relief because of the administrative costs and the uncertainty of the waiver process.

To give you just one example, in 2010, my company, which had recently converted to an all-digital platform, went to considerable expense to petition the FCC for a waiver of certain analog-based technical performance testing requirements.

It was not until last September, more than seven years after we filed our waiver request, that the FCC finally addressed our concerns.

Now, the goal of SERRO is to ensure that the FCC is more attentive to small entities' well-founded need for exceptions to or relief from one-size-fits-all rules and it accomplishes that in three provisions.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statem	ents
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to	the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be poste	d on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.	

First, SERRO directs the FCC to adopt streamlined provisions to reduce the administrative burdens faced by small entities that file waiver petitions and to expedite the resolution of those petitions.

Second, SERRO clarifies that Congress intends for the FCC, as part of its mandated triennial review process to consider the impact of its rules on any and all small entities within its jurisdiction.

SERRO further instructs the FCC to modify or repeal the application of particular regulations to small entities where the commission determines there is good cause to do so.

And third, SERRO establishes an automatic referral period of at least one year in the application of most new regulations to small entities, subject to exceptions for rules that address public safety concerns or that reduce waste, fraud, and abuse.

If SERRO had been in place in 2010, my company would not have been subjected to seven years of regulatory uncertainty, waiting for the FCC to act on our petition. In fact, we might not have needed to go to the expense of filing the petition in the first place.

I want to stress that SERRO is focused only on the procedures by which small entities can request regulatory relief. That's

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
33
the O in SERRO an opportunity. Nothing in the bill would change
the substantive legal standard for obtaining that relief.
And I also want to emphasize that while I am here representing
the American Cable Association, SERRO is not a cable-only bill.
SERRO will apply to and has the support of small entities in every
sector of the communications industry, as evidenced by this letter
that was sent to Chairman Walden.
Now, in conclusion, I do want to express my thanks to
Representatives Latta and the absent Mr. Schrader to move SERRO
forward.
As Representative Latta stated in this introduction, while
small businesses are the engines of the economy, generating two
out of three new jobs, they also are the most susceptible to
burdensome regulations that harm their ability to grown, expand,
and hire new employees.
ACA looks forward to working with you on this sensible and
important piece of bipartisan procedural regulatory relief
legislation and be happy to answer any questions.
Thank you.
[The prepared statement of Mr. Gessner follows:]

**********INSERT 3*******

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

34

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.

Mr. Madigan, five minutes.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

STATEMENT OF MR. MADIGAN

Mr. Madigan. Madam Chairman and Ranking Member Doyle, thank you very much for inviting the American Foundation for Suicide Prevention to testify this morning.

My name is John Madigan. I have the honor and privilege of being the association's chief public policy officer. We are a nonprofit health agency about 30 years old and we are organized in all 50 states, and I believe my team has provided all members of the subcommittee with fact sheets that illustrate the suicide issue in your particular state.

I am also here to testify about H.R. 2345, the National Suicide Prevention Hotline Improvement Act of 2017. We want to thank, obviously, Representative Chris Stewart from Utah and Representative Eddie Bernice Johnson from Texas for her leadership on this important issue, and the other co-sponsors in the House, which is somewhere around seven co-sponsors.

Let me speak frankly. Suicide is now the tenth leading cause of death in our country for adults age 18 to 64. For every one suicide, there are 25 suicide attempts.

The annual age-adjusted suicide rate is 13.42 per 100,000 individuals. After adjusting for differences in age and sex,

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

risk for suicide is 19 percent higher for male veterans than for U.S. non-veteran male adults.

Risk is 2.5 times higher among female veterans when compared to U.S. non-veteran women. Men die by suicide three and a half times more than women and white males account for seven out of 10 deaths in 2016.

Suicide is often the result of unrecognized and untreated mental illness. Get this. One in four Americans have a diagnosable mental illness but only one in five are seeking professional help for this condition.

Suicide tends to be the highest when multiple risk factors or precipitating events occur in an individual with mental illness.

Despite public perception, most people with mental illness do not die by suicide. Mental illnesses such as depression, bipolar disorder, alcohol and drug dependence, post-traumatic stress, and traumatic brain injury may create the underlying risk that, when combined with life stressors such as transition from military life, job loss, relationship, financial, or legal problems increase risk.

There's good news. There's a grass roots movement that's now being formed, like it has for many other disease groups.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

Our movement is being catalyzed by both survivors of suicide loss -- I lost my younger sister, Nancy, 21 years ago when she was 37 -- and also the emerging voices of those that have what we call the lived experience -- those people who have survived their own suicide attempts.

So as I said earlier, I am here today to talk about why H.R. 2345 could be a game changer for our national public safety net. It was discussed by Chairman Pallone. Essentially, the FCC is going to look into the possibility of converting the 1-800-273-TALK number into an easy-to-remember three-digit number like 911.

It will require SAMHSA to study the effectiveness of the current system and also to assess how veterans are being helped in this system.

Finally, the study will provide cost estimates and resource needs for increasing federal support for phone hotline, chat, and text.

To hear some important facts, a national easy-to-remember single point of access free, anonymous, and toll-free for all American residents is necessary to provide a health safety net for all persons in the United States.

The experience of SAMHSA's national suicide prevention

	within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
704	lifeline indicates that a national hotline number has been
705	essential in addressing this public health crisis.
706	In since 2005, the lifeline has served more than 11 million
707	callers. In 2017, the national network answered 2 million calls.
708	According to independent evaluators of the service, 75 percent
709	are non-suicidal and 25 percent are suicidal.
710	So the bottom line, in closing, is that this legislation is
711	critically important. When my 25-year-old daughter texted me
712	this morning and asked me, "Daddy, why are you testifying before
713	the Communications and Technology Subcommittee," I said,
714	"Preventing suicide is all about communication and in the 21st
715	century it's all about technology."
716	So I will be glad to answer any questions you have.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Madigan follows:]

717

718

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

39

720

Mrs. Blackburn. We thank the gentleman.

721

Ms. Morris, you're recognized for five minutes.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted or
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

STATEMENT OF MS. MORRIS

Ms. Morris. Thank you, Chairman Blackburn, Ranking Member Doyle, and subcommittee members for the opportunity to testify today at this legislative hearing on four communications bills.

My name is Sarah Morris and I represent New America's Open Technology Institute, or OTI, where I am the director of open internet policy.

New America is a nonpartisan nonprofit civic enterprise dedicated to the renewal of American politics, prosperity, and purpose in the digital age.

OTI is a program within New America that works at the intersection of technology and policy so ensure that every community has equitable access to digital technology and its benefits.

OTI promotes universal access to communications technologies that are both open and secure, using a multi-disciplinary approach that brings together advocates, researchers, organizers, and innovators.

Our primary focus areas include net neutrality, broadband access and adoption, surveillance and security, and consumer privacy.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statement
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to th
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted o
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

My testimony will focus on concerns related to one of the four bills under consideration today -- H.R. 3787, or the Small Entity Regulatory Relief Act, which I will refer to as SERRO.

OTI's concerns are fourfold. First, it is not clear that an immediate problems exists that this bill would effectively solve.

Indeed, against the backdrop of the current heavily deregulated landscape, the proposed bill seems particularly unnecessary.

Second, to the extent that a need for waivers from or exemptions to certain regulations exist, numerous processes for securing them also already exist at the Federal Communications Commission.

Third, the definition of small entities in the bill is unclear. Finally, the proposed triennial review process reforms would create a high degree of confusion and uncertainty at the commission.

I've submitted a detailed written testimony to the subcommittee already and I will use my time here to briefly explain each of those four concerns.

Regulations of general applicability are the standard in federal regulatory policy making, and for a good reason. The

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	y be inaccura	te, incomp	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e.	

point of consumer protection laws, from telecommunications to food service to health care, is to protect all consumers from harmful practices, not just consumers of the biggest entities.

All consumers are entitled to the protections of federal telecommunications laws. There may be instances where waivers from certain regulations under the Communication Act are appropriate. As I will discuss shortly, there are mechanisms for addressing the need for specific waivers.

However, neither Mr. Gessner nor the bill's co-sponsors have demonstrated widespread and significant harms that would be most effectively remedied by the reforms proposed in SERRO.

It is unclear why the triple play proposed in the bill, an expedited waiver application process, a near blanket exemption from all future regulations for a period of one year and an expanded triennial review of the applicability of all regulations to small entities as necessary.

Indeed, the commission already provides numerous avenues of recourse for a small business that believes an existing or proposed regulation is unduly burdensome.

The most obvious and fundamental opportunity to discuss burdens on small businesses is to engage with the commission during the notice and comment periods that are required each time

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

788 | new rules are created.

In those proceedings, the commission has opportunities to hear from multiple perspectives on the parties' assertion of burdens and can appropriately weigh those burdens with the need for the regulations in question.

In addition, as the bill itself acknowledges, the commission's rules already allow the commission to waive specific requirements of the rules on its own motion or upon request.

The best approach for ensuring certainty and reducing administrative burdens is to use existing processes to identify the need for a narrow waivers when a need for such a waiver is clearly demonstrated.

This bill, however, uses an arbitrary definition of small entity that creates considerable confusion and shifts the burden of the defending the applicability of a given regulation onto consumer groups and other parties every single time a regulation is considered.

Not only is this a significant administrative cost to bear on its face, the problem is compounded by the fact that the 2 percent market share threshold will need to be defined every single time a regulation is considered.

As we have seen in anti-trust analysis, this type of market

	speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
	44
810	share definition is entirely dependent on how a given market is
811	defined. As telecom industries become more integrated and
812	services evolve, defining relevant market could become even more
813	difficult.
814	Finally, the modifications to the 257 triennial review
815	requirement proposed in this bill could create significant
816	administrative burdens for consumer groups and other parties.
817	As written, the amendments to 257 would allow the commission
818	to, once the bill is enacted, re-litigate every single regulation
819	currently on the books at the commission.
820	This reevaluation would require multiple proceedings to be
821	reopened and create enormous bureaucratic strain throughout the
822	communications part as well as uncertainty for consumers.
823	Each of the three proposals in SERRO raise concerns. Taken
824	together, however, they represent a fundamental shift in burdens
825	and advocacy before the Federal Communications Commission.
826	I urge the subcommittee to reject H.R. 3787.
827	[The prepared statement of Ms. Morris follows:]
828	

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The statements
within ma	y be inaccura	te, incom	plete, or mis	attributed to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will be posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is availabl	e.

	45
830	Mrs. Blackburn. The gentlelady concludes her statement.
831	At this time, that concludes all of our opening statements.
832	We are going to move to questions. I do want everyone to be
833	mindful it looks like 12:15 to 12:30 will be the next vote
834	series, and I want to move through as many of these questions as
835	we can.
836	So, Mr. Shimkus, I will begin with you. You're recognized
837	for five minutes for questions.
838	Mr. Shimkus. Madam Chair, thank you. You're very kind.
839	Let me let me go to Tim, and I want to ask Mr. Gessner
840	this question, because I've just been wrestling with it.
841	So we want competition. We want deployment. You're in the
842	rural areas very difficult. You're trying to get 5G in.
843	I keep hearing from my local municipalities the concern that
844	their input as to siting for 5G they're not going to you
845	know, they'll weave a story. We've got this great park. We don't
846	want a refrigerator-sized 5G sitting in there.
847	So talk me through this on competition 5G and how do we make
848	sure that the concerns of local communities are still, at least,
849	listened to?
850	Because a lot of this is these regulatory burdens get it
851	moved, right?

This is a	n preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	ay be inaccura	ite, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comn	nittee's website	as soon as	it is availabl	e.	

Mr. Tim Donovan. Thank you, Congressman, and you're probably also hearing from them about how they want to be one of the first smart cities and want to make sure that all of your constituents are able to connect to the latest services. So you do need to have the infrastructure to provide that.

We are working together with ways to look at it not as a zero sum gain but how can we make the application process both easier for carriers for deploying this as well as reduce the resources needed by municipalities to review.

If there's some low-hanging fruit of places that make sense to streamline the review then that also means one less application — a couple less hours that somebody who works for the municipality has to spend reviewing that application.

Mr. Shimkus. So, Mr. Gessner, obviously, from the rural cable perspective, there also could be, you know, debates. You have already done negotiations with local communities on right-of-ways and wires.

And talk to me about the competitive pressure or what would be the response as there is a great desire to also move 5G in the areas and the local communities have been able to -- the old historic models -- what, the historic model is the cable company comes in, they negotiate, there's fees, they work with the local

within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
47
communities.
5G could disrupt the way this paradigm has been established.
But a lot of us want the competition.
So can you talk through that, from your perspective?
Mr. Gessner. Good question. We haven't had a great deal
of interaction between the 5G proponents and traditional cable
companies, at least not in our size markets.
We look forward, actually, to working with the 5G operators
because we know they're going to need a lot of back haul. When
you have got a 5G transmitter every few hundred feet, it has to
connect to something.
So companies like mine are certainly prepared to work with
them through our high-capacity fiber networks to bring all of that
5G data back without having to have more repeater towers and that
sort of thing.
Mr. Shimkus. Great. And I was going to spend time asking
you to talk about some of the other challenges and problems you
have.
But in lieu of the time, Madam Chair and everybody else wants
to ask questions. I yield back.

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.

Mr. Doyle, five minutes.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. T	he statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misatt	ributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript wi	ll be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.	

896

Mr. Doyle. Thank you, Madam Chair.

898

897

8

899900

901

902903

904

905

906907

908

909

910

912

913

914

915

916917

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, Congress created an independent office of advocacy within the Small Business Administration, and the job of that office is to go out and advocate before Congress, the White House, and federal agencies on behalf of small businesses in America.

The Office of Advocacy has come on against regulation that harms small businesses like the FCC's order to deregulate business data services.

Much like the FCC's rollback of business data protections,

I am worried that this small entity bill would actually hurt small businesses.

I want to ask you, Ms. Morris, do you believe that H.R. 3787 could unfairly disadvantage some small businesses over others?

Ms. Morris. Sure. I thank you, Ranking Member, for the question.

And I certainly think that there is a high risk of harm to all types of entities, whether it's consumers, other small -- and consumers can include small businesses that are purchasing broadband from an entity that would be covered by this act.

In the case of the net neutrality protections where a waiver was granted for certain parts of the rules, a more automatic and

This is	a preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within n	nay be inaccura	te, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Com	mittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e.	

sweeping waiver in that case would have resulted in many small businesses that rely on an open internet access to be harmed by the lack of that access in certain instances.

We want all consumers to have access to the protections afforded by the commission, not just those of the largest entities.

Mr. Doyle. So let me ask you, under this bill, the threshold for expedited small business relief is set at 2 percent or fewer of the consumers receiving such subscription service in the United States. It seems like a vague standard but also a rather overly inclusive one as well.

In the video market, for example, 2 percent of the market would be over 1.6 million customers, and when you look at a couple of companies that fall into that range, they have annual revenues of over \$1.5 billion.

That doesn't seem like a small business to me. Does that seem small to you? And what effect would exempting these companies from the FCC's rules have on consumers?

Ms. Morris. We certainly agree that the definition is both unclear and potentially much too large and as you point out, Ranking Member Doyle, this -- implementation of this bill has the potential to remove protections for millions of Americans across

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The stateme	ents
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to	the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted	l on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.	

the country -- protections that the FCC would have otherwise be deemed necessary in a thorough rulemaking process.

Mr. Doyle. Yes. I think more to the point, the FCC interacts with a wide range of small businesses from radio and TV stations, voice video, data providers, device manufacturers, wireless licensees, and many of the FCC's rules that these businesses comply with are not only tailored to the size of those businesses but also to ensuring that these entities uphold their obligations under the Communications Acts.

So what effect would granting these wide-ranging waivers have on industry sectors under the FCC's jurisdiction?

Ms. Morris. We think it would create a significant uncertainty as we try to figure out which application -- which regulations apply to which entities -- which ones apply to other -- or don't apply to other entities.

And meanwhile, I will just repeat that consumers in those industries will be harmed in the process when those protections don't apply to their providers.

Mr. Doyle. Thank you.

Madam Chair, in the interest of time, I yield back.

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.

Mr. Lance, five minutes.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

962 Mr. Lance. Thank you, Madam Chair.

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

To the distinguished panel, thank you all for being here.

Mr. Donovan, can you comment on some of the limitations of the FCC's current enforcement tools against pirate radios? Have you seen issues with the commission's ability to shut down pirates in your role as president of New York Broadcasters?

Mr. David Donovan. Thank you, Congressman Lance.

Yes. I think that there is some limitations and those limitations now are based on the statute. Let me give you some examples.

Under the Communications Act, the fine, for example, for an entity not licensed by the FCC is, roughly, \$10,000. Pirate radio operators — this is big business, and a \$10,000 fine is absolutely nothing. When you actually look at someone who's been violating the law literally for decades, this is just a cost of doing business.

The second piece is is that in order to get a seizure order or an order to enforce the fine, the FCC is required to go through the -- through the U.S. attorney's office. They are busy on things like terrorism, drug interdiction, and this becomes the fourth level issue.

What I think -- and I worked at the commission for 10 years

the Committee's website as soon as it is available.	
	52
984 I think what we really need to do is to give the FCC the authorit	tу
to go to court to defend its own orders and also to get seizu	re
orders as well.	
987 The FCC currently has the authority to go to the U.S. Cour	rt
of Appeals to defend its orders at the appellate level. It seems	ed
jt would make sense to get rid of the number one issue, which	is
would love to help you but the U.S. Attorneys Office just isn'	' t
991 interested.	
992 Mr. Lance. Thank you. I am working on this issue, as yo	ou
know, and the PIRATE Act and I want to continue to work with you	ou
and the other distinguished members of the panel, and I certain	ly
agree with you.	
And Madam Chair, I ask unanimous consent to submit the Ne	ew
997 York Broadcasters' report on pirate radio into the record.	
998 Mrs. Blackburn. Without objection.	
999 [The information follows:]	
000	
001	

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on

53 1002 Thank you, and I yield back three minutes. 1003 Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back. 1004 Ms. Matsui, you are recognized. Thank you very much, Madam Chairman. 1005 1006 Since its creation in 2004, the Spectrum Relocation Fund has 1007 become a critical tool for federal agencies relocating or sharing 1008 spectrum for wireless broadband use. 1009 In 2015, Congress made improvements to the SRF that allowed 1010 agencies to use funds in SRF to support engineering research that 1011 could lead to the repurposing of spectrum for commercial use. 1012 The improvements have worked. Last month, NTIA and DOD 1013 identified 100 megahertz of spectrum that could potentially be 1014 repurposed. 1015 However, current law limits how much of existing SRF funds 1016 can be used for this research and related activities. This has created an unintended situation that could prevent agencies from 1017 accessing existing SRF funds and potentially prevent more 1018 1019 spectrum entering the commercial marketplace. 1020 I am working on legislation called the Spectrum Now Act to 1021 address this problem. 1022 Mr. Donovan -- Tim -- would you support this effort to ensure 1023 that we are maximizing the amount of spectrum that could be

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on

the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

repurposed for wireless broadband use?

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

Mr. Tim Donovan. Thank you, Congresswoman, and thank you for your leadership on these efforts. I think you are -- it's a proven case model that it works and working with spectrum relocation fund to reallocate federal spectrum for commercial use, your leadership on that issue led to the single highest grossing spectrum auction ever in the AWS-3 auction.

So this money is clearly well spent. We absolutely support your efforts to continue that. You know, spectrum is something that we are not making any more of it. So if we can be more efficient then that research is money well spent.

Ms. Matsui. Thank you.

To successfully expand broadband access to the rural and remote areas of this country, broadband maps must accurately identify where service is and where it isn't.

One of the most effective ways to get better maps is by collecting better standardized coverage data. I understand that a consensus proposal to get better mobile wireless coverage data was put forward as part of the Mobility Fund II Challenge Process.

Specifically, that proposal suggested modelling 4G LTE coverage at download speeds of 5 megahertz per second at a 90 percent cell edge probability under cell loading factor of 50

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript.	The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or mis	attributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript	will be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is availabl	e.

1046 percent.

Mr. Donovan -- Tim again -- how can accurately modelling
mobile broadband data help expand coverage?

Mr. Tim Donovan. Thank you, and this has been an important issue going on, on making sure that we know where there is service and where there isn't so that we can direct funding where it's appropriate.

One thing that is missing from that model that is included in Congressman McKinley and Congressman Walters' bill is also looking at signal strength.

And while it gets technical quickly, it's important. We measure signal strength in decibel milliwatt loss, but a difference of only five leads to a difference of about a 100 percent geographic coverage.

In rural areas a difference of 10 we've developed 300 percent geographic coverage. When you look at that, you know, while there was consensus to move forward before, the factors selected by the FCC produced this map that we now know from looking at it that it doesn't pass the test that you all know from your travels across your states that it's not the experience that your consumers are receiving.

Ms. Matsui. Okay. Well, thank you very much, and I yield

1068	back	the	remainder	of	my	time.
------	------	-----	-----------	----	----	-------

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

Mrs. Blackburn. The gentlelady yields back.

Mr. Latta, you're recognized for five.

Mr. Latta. Well, thank you, Madam Chair.

And Mr. Gessner, when I travel around my district and meet with a lot of my business owners, especially the smaller business owners, they talk about the over regulations occurring, especially on the federal side.

And then also how that regulation affects your business and also a lot of times as legislators and then the regulators they don't really see the after effect of what happens.

And I was wondering if you might be able to look, as a small business owner and also in telecommunications, if you can thing of some real-world examples where SERRO could have helped your business and, consequently, your customers.

Mr. Gessner. Thank you for the question.

I offered a brief explanation of my situation in 2010 during my testimony. I will expand on it a little bit.

We converted from an analog cable system to an all digital system in 2010. We thought that was the right thing to do. We were well before everybody else and we went along with the broadcasters at the same time.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	y be inaccura	te, incomp	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e.	

Shortly thereafter, we realized that we could no longer complete analog testing as required by the FCC because we had no analog signals to test.

So we requested a waiver from analog testing and it was supposed to -- should have been very, very easy because there had already been one operator who had received a waiver from analog testing. So we thought just give me one of those.

So we went to the expense. We went to the time. Produced the affidavits and all that sort of thing, and there were several, maybe a half dozen of us, who were doing the same thing at the same time.

Radio silence. We didn't hear anything for seven years, and what finally happened was the FCC changed the rules and told all of us that our petitions were moved.

Now, if SERRO had been in effect then, we could have been those seven years of regulatory uncertainty. If SERRO had been in place then, the FCC would have been through at least two triennial reviews and had recognized that analog testing by digital systems was something that had to be addressed and they could have addressed it and no waivers would have been -- no waiver petitions would have been required in the first place.

Mr. Latta. Well, thank you very much, Madam Chair.

This is	a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within 1	na	y be inaccura	te, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker		A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Com	ımi	ittee's website	as soon as	it is availabl	e.	

1112	And I will yield back the balance of my time.
1113	Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.
1114	Ms. Eshoo, you're recognized.
1115	Ms. Eshoo. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman, and thank you to
1116	each of the witnesses. It's good to see you, and I think that
1117	you all did a good job in presenting what you want to present.
1118	To Ms. Morris, in your written testimony you noted that the
1119	small entity bill, 3787, is vague in its definition of a small
1120	entity.
1121	Now, some entities may have very few subscribers, in the
1122	thousands. But the language also applies to companies with
1123	millions of subscribers, and I wouldn't consider millions of
1124	subscribers as small.
1125	If this were to become law, well, first of all, I think it's
1126	an ambiguous definition, obviously, because millions is not
1127	small.
1128	So if this were to become law, what's the outcome of this?
1129	What would actually take place?
1130	Ms. Morris. Thank you, Congresswoman Eshoo. I appreciate
1131	the question.
1132	And on this issue of the definition of small entities, I would
1133	note that the bill may seem like it clearly and cleanly defines

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. T	he statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misatt	ributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript wi	ll be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.	

1134 | what a small entity is.

But there -- it creates worry that gamesmanship could be used to sort of water down the definition and that that can be -- that can change over time and be very costly and complicated to determine on a recurring basis.

And because, as you point out, that the range of potential entities covered in the definition is so expansive, as I noted earlier, we risk disenfranchising millions of consumers from the important consumer protections that the commission determines that they need once they're in --

Ms. Eshoo. Because tied to this are what you just described, correct?

Ms. Morris. Yes. Essentially, what this bill would do would be every time the commission makes a determination that a regulation is needed at a general level, what would be otherwise a regulation of general applicability that there would be essentially an automatic waiver for a year's time for small entities as defined, broadly, in the act.

And so for that period of time those customers and consumers would be -- would not have access to the protections afforded to those who were customers of larger companies.

Ms. Eshoo. I think that this is an area of this bill that

within m speaker.	a preliminary nay be inaccur A link to the	rate, incom final, offici	plete, or mis	attributed will be po	to the
the Comi	mittee's websit	e as soon as	s it is availabl	e.	6
 roally no	oods to be tigh	atonod un h	oggiso othor	wise it as	n cuin

really needs to be tightened up because otherwise it can swing one way or another.

One way it could be determined that small is in the thousands and waivers can be granted for those that have millions.

It just doesn't -- it would have been better if they just said waive everything because that seems to be the intent.

In your testimony, you also said, quote, "the point of consumer protection laws is to protect consumers from all harmful practices, not just those from the biggest entities."

Does it -- it seems to me that this is a Trojan Horse, because it's going to hamstring the FCC's ability to do the job that's been laid out relative to the protection of consumers.

Again, small companies would not have to play by the rules that everyone else has to play by in terms of the -- how it's defined or not defined in the language of the bill.

Can you describe if there's an alternative to burden? Is there significant consumer risk there as well?

Ms. Morris. I am sorry. If there's an alternative burden -- if a new burden is placed on -- I think that, you know, there is the sort of immediate risk of the what happens when the one-year waiver is in place. I think that there is also a concern that this will just overly complicate rulemaking processes at the FCC.

This is	a	preliminary,	unedited	transcrip	t. The	statements
within	ma	y be inaccura	ite, incom	plete, or 1	misattribi	uted to the
speaker	• · •	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcr	ipt will b	e posted on
the Con	nmi	ittee's website	as soon as	it is avail	able.	_

We are going to have to pre-litigate what counts as a small
entity in every proceeding. We'll probably have to litigate
after the fact as well, and meanwhile there will be less focus
on the making sure that we get the rules right so that they
don't create the types of situations that

Ms. Eshoo. Well, I think that there -- there is always a legitimate case to be made for streamlining. But I think that this is going to turn into a hairball. I really do. And I would just suggest to the authors that they tighten up the language because the definition is so wide a Peterbilt truck can drive through it.

Thank you.

Mrs. Blackburn. All right. The lady yields back.

And if everyone, I am told, can try to keep it three minutes or less, we should be able to dismiss our panel before we go for votes.

Mr. Olson, you're recognized.

Mr. Olson. I thank the chair.

Welcome to our four witnesses -- five witnesses. My comments and questions are for you, Mr. Madigan, on suicide and H.R. 2345.

Like you, suicide has hit me directly as a congressman and

All

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1200 even in my family.

In 2014, a Marine veteran, Casey Owens, killed himself in Colorado. He was 32 years old. I met Casey in 2007 in our hometown of Sugarland, Texas.

He had lost both legs, PTSD, TBI when a small Humvee hit a tank mine the thing flew up 30 feet in the air. He found peace in Colorado, skiing -- snow skiing. He was a competitive monoskier. His goal was to ski for our country in the para-olympics.

But he never found true piece. He was on CBS News in 2012 and he responded this way, quote, "I really don't think I will ever be free. I don't think the burden of war is ever gone," end quote. And, sadly, it wasn't.

And now my family. When I was in high school my mom got a Master's in family therapy for kids. She met a little girl named Sherri Silvas at the Harris County Youth Village.

Sherri had been abused by her father. Her mom was worthless. She was in the gangs, drugs, and she also had a natural chemical imbalance. All those came together to make her regularly think about committing suicide.

Mom became very close to Sherri. In fact, she became a de facto fourth child in my family. But she was a handful. She

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted or
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
disappeared for three months. My dad found her halfway across
the country. He brought her back.
But two years after that, Sherri took her own life as well
My mom, my dad, and my entire family are still haunted we couldn't
stop her from taking her own life.
And in your testimony you're written testimony you said
that having a verbal counselor a line to call a person is so
effective that it actually reduces suicides and their feelings
of hopelessness.
Would that have helped Sherri and how important is that
number to have, that 311 number?
Mr. Madigan. Well, Congressman, first of all, I am sorr
for all of your experiences and I hope you find some closure and
peace.
And I think the legislation that we are looking at today is
one piece of the puzzle in that the data that we have, 25 percent
of the callers are have some suicidal ideation.
So they do get immediate help in terms of talking out what
is currently going on.
1

Clearly, with veterans, there's a whole host of, as I

described in my oral statement but more detailed in my written

statement, the life stressors that then set off a preexisting

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted or
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

1244 | mental health condition.

So that's the bottom line is that we, as a nation, need to more quickly recognize someone's mental illness situation, much like you would someone having a diabetic attack or having heart disease, and then -- I love to talk about the face when you go ask a five-year-old, you know, what's the biggest organ in the body and they normally say the heart.

And I say, well, wrong -- the biggest organ in the body is the brain, and if your heart is broken you to the heart doctor to get it fixed. If your brain is broken, you need to go to the brain doctor.

So it's part of that process and we believe a three-digit number would make access for counseling more readily available.

Mr. Olson. Yes, and hopefully DOD can use that three-digit number because there has been a report by JO that says, hey, you guys were overwhelmed by some calls -- people aren't getting the therapy they need via phone call.

So hopefully this helps them, gives them a chance to get to guys like Casey.

Mr. Madigan. Yes. The phone calls from this January to now versus January last year have increased 60 percent. So the need is clearly there, sir.

1266	Mr. Olson. I am sorry for your loss as well.
1267	Madam Chairman, I yield back.
1268	Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.
1269	Mr. McNerney, you're recognized.
1270	Mr. McNerney. I thank the chair.
1271	As I mentioned earlier in my opening statement, I am
1272	concerned about the larger trend we are seeing with consumer
1273	protections across the board being eliminated from my
1274	constituents.
1275	Ms. Morris, what protections do consumers currently have
1276	with respect to their online privacy and the information that is
1277	shared with their broadband provider?
1278	Ms. Morris. None. None from the Federal Communications
1279	Commission. They were repealed.
1280	Mr. McNerney. Okay. What protections do consumers have
1281	with respect to their broadband provider keeping their data
1282	secure?
1283	Ms. Morris. I do less data security work. But I would
1284	imagine very little because the work I did was in the broadband
1285	privacy?
1286	Mr. McNerney. Okay. Well, what about with respect to
1287	consumers' access to information being online being throttled

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

or blocked? Will there soon be any protections left for consumers from blocking and throttling?

Ms. Morris. Once the 2017 order -- December order takes effect, no.

Mr. McNerney. Well, I am certainly open to finding ways to streamlining regulatory compliance for small business but I am worried that the SERRO bill would move us further in the direction of eliminating safeguards for consumers, many of which have already been eliminated.

Ms. Morris, in your written testimony you stated that triennial review process would create a high degree of confusion and possibly legal uncertainty at the commission. Can you explain how a high degree of confusion at the commission is likely to impact consumers?

Ms. Morris. Sure. And what I mean by that is that once the bill would take effect there is this -- every three years the triennial review but there's also the initial review when it seems like it would be essentially open season on any regulations in the FCC's currently on the books. There's no sort of limitation. It would be a retroactive review as part of -- I can tell from the text of their bill.

So that would mean that what small protections remain for

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

consumers in this deregulatory environment at the commission would be under scrutiny once again, presumably with new proceedings open to reconsider all of those -- the application of all those regulations, which could tie up the commission's hands for months or years on end.

Mr. McNerney. Thank you.

Mr. Madigan, in written testimony your organization submitted it was noted that veterans, in particular male veterans are more often at risk of suicide.

In my experience serving in the Veterans Affairs Committee

I found that veterans sometimes feel isolated when they return
home. Do you think that's one of the contributing factors?

Mr. Madigan. Absolutely. Isolation, alcohol, access to guns -- I mean, all those kinds of things are something that contribute to veterans contemplating suicide.

Mr. McNerney. Do you think that making sure veterans have access to 21st century infrastructure like broadband could help our veterans?

Mr. Madigan. Well, as I said at the closing of my oral statement, I think yeah, communication is the key. Talking about mental health issues like any other health issue and if technology can be increased and broadened I believe that's -- our

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
68
organization believes that's the way to go.
Mr. McNerney. Good. And I thank the chair, and I will yield
back.
Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.
Mr. Bilirakis, and I remind everyone if we can keep it to
three minutes then we'll probably be able to gavel out.
Mr. Bilirakis, you're recognized.
Mr. Bilirakis. Thank you, Madam Chair.
Mr. Madigan, as vice chairman of the Veterans Affairs
Committee, the full committee, I know the veterans are a uniquely
situated population and their experiences and challenges.
The last OIG report on the veterans crisis line identified
a number of problems, including a considerable volume of calls
going to voicemail, which is unacceptable.
Since some time has passed since then, I agree with the intent
of H.R. 2345 to study how the needs of veterans are addressed by
the National Suicide Prevention Hotline the lifeline.
In your testimony, you say that one in three callers to the
suicide hotline are veterans or members of the military families

Can you explain the unique challenges that these callers face and in your position have you seen specific issues related to a

because they suffer as well.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
69
call responder's ability to address these needs through the
hotline?
In other words, also if some of the responders are the
veterans? Can they identify with the veteran?
Mr. Madigan. Yes, that's a great question.
The when you call 180-273-TALK and press one you're
immediately handed over to a peer-to-peer counselling service
where veterans who have been through the same experiences that
most callers have been through are there.
So I think it's an awesome program. The budget needs to be
increased. The number of counselors need to be increased and,
clearly, with the fact that, sir, that we lose anywhere from 18
to 22 veterans a day that we know of
Mr. Bilirakis. That we know of. Exactly. Yes.
Mr. Madigan. That we know of that's a major problem. So
we are committed. Again, that's why we support this legislation,
to look at the whole picture, see what's working.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

But the bottom line, when Orrin Hatch called me last May to

talk about this bill, I said, Senator, it's a great idea, but if

you make it easier to call and there's no one there on the other

end to answer the call or they're not competent to answer the call

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

then that's a big problem.

	Committee's website as soon as it is available.
	Mr. Bilirakis. So a veteran can speak a combat vetera
can s	speak to a combat veteran. Is that correct?
	Mr. Madigan. Absolutely. Yes, sir.
	Mr. Bilirakis. Was in a similar situation?
	Mr. Madigan. More and more any of the veteran hotlines that
I am	aware of employ peer-to-peer counsellors.
	Mr. Bilirakis. Okay. I would like to speak with you or
that	•
	Mr. Madigan. Yes. I will also tell you about something
Let's	s talk offline about the that's for warriors out of Ne
Jerse	ey, which is Rutgers University sponsors it and it's upstrea
couns	selling of veterans.
	So before someone gets to a bridge or puts a gun in their
mouth	n, it helps veterans when they might lose their home, they'r
havir	ng personal problems or financial problems.
	Mr. Bilirakis. Okay. I am going stick with the three
minut	tes. But the three digit number is obviously more it'
easie	er to remember
	Mr. Madigan. Yes.
	Mr. Bilirakis as opposed to the 1-800 number.
	Mr. Madigan. It's 1-800-273-TALK but I imagine you're i

the middle of a suicidal ideation, unless it's written somewhere

	This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements
	within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the
	speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on
	the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
ı	7.1

it's hard to remember.

1398

1399

1400

1401

1402

1403

1404

1405

1406

1407

1408

1409

1410

1411

1412

1413

1414

1415

1416

1417

1418

1419

So I even think a five-year-old knows to dial 911 when they need to call the police, and we also think that having a dedicated number like 311 or whatever it might be would reduce the burden on 911 and get people to the right location the first time.

Mr. Bilirakis. Agreed.

Thank you. I yield back, Madam Chair.

Mrs. Blackburn. Gentleman yields back.

Mr. Engel, you're recognized.

Mr. Engel. Thank you, Madam Chair.

Mr. David Donovan, nice to see New Yorkers here. Welcome to Washington.

I am interested in the enforcement requirements of the PIRATE $\mbox{\footnote{Act.}}$

Mr. David Donovan. Yes, sir.

Mr. Engel. You testified that pirate radio stations outnumbered licensed stations in some major markets and, as in understand it, the draft legislation in front of us today would require, and I quote it, "sustained enforcement and attention on pirate broadcasting," unquote, including the requirement that the FCC conduct pirate radio enforcement sweeps in some markets.

So to your knowledge, has any agency -- DOJ, FCC, or any other

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within may	y be inaccura	te, incomp	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the fi	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Commi	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e .	

-- conducted regular pirate radio enforcement sweeps in the past?

Do you have a sense for the amount of time, money, and personnel these sweeps would require?

Mr. David Donovan. To my knowledge, the Department of Justice had not done any sweeps. The FCC may have done one.

In terms of time to do a sweep, for example, it took us four days to find 76 pirates in New York City and in northern New Jersey.

So the actual amount of those sweeps does not take that amount of time, and in fact, with technology you can actually reduce the amount of time that you need.

For example, there are pirate -- there are radios that are currently on the market that you connect to the internet and you place them throughout New York City or northern New Jersey and you can sit in the FCC's office in Washington or in New York and literally turn the dial and you know what stations you have licensed and you will be able to hear what stations aren't licensed.

That will tell you, depending on the location of where that radio is, that we know we have 30 pirates near Flatbush or we have some in the Bronx.

What it does is by using technology in a smart way we'll actually reduce the ability or reduce the burdens that are imposed

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The sta	atements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattribute	d to the
speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be p	osted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.	
	73

1442 by doing sweeps.

1443

1444

1445

1446

1447

1448

1449

1450

1451

1452

1453

1454

1455

1456

1457

1458

1459

1460

1461

1462

1463

But, frankly, we've done sweeps -- I've done four sweeps over the last several years and, again, I found 76 pirates in four days. So it's not -- the burden of doing the spectrum sweep is really not -- it can be done, and the FCC has the capability and equipment to do it.

And, sir, to be blunt, I will be more than happy work with the Federal Communications Commission to help get those sweeps done.

Mr. Engel. Thank you.

Ms. Morris, let me ask you a quick question. point out something really important in your written testimony regarding the small entity regulatory bill.

The Paperwork Reduction Act and the Regulatory Flexibility Act already requires the FCC to contemplate the effects of new protections on small businesses and there is already a number of opportunities for small cable or phone companies to get waivers under the FCC's procedures.

So, in your view, are there too few avenues for small business to be accommodated in FCC proceedings?

Ms. Morris. It is my view that there are not too few -- that there are sufficient avenues already at the FCC. I am sympathetic

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
74
to situations where a waiver, as in Mr. Gessner's case in his
testimony, was not able to be achieved in a timely fashion.
We would simply advocate for a more surgical solution to
those specific problems rather than the blunt tools that are
would be employed under SERRO.
Mr. Engel. Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair.
I yield back my time.
Mrs. Blackburn. Thank the gentleman.
Mr. Johnson, you're recognized. Three minutes.
Mr. Johnson. Thank you, Madam Chairman.
Mr. Gessner, first of all, thanks for what you do in your
role as the president of Massollin Cable. You serve a large
number of people in one of my counties of 18, so I appreciate that.
I saw in your comments that you highlighted one example where
the waiver process did not work in a timely fashion for you. Do
you have other statistics or insights that you could share with
us about that dynamic?
Mr. Gessner. Thank you. Thank you for your question.

Yes, I probably have four or five current examples that would probably be more anecdotal than anything else. But by way of description, I would refer to a staff report that was issued to this committee in 2011 and it was entitled "The Staff Report on

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The st	tatements
within ma	ay be inaccura	ite, incom	plete, or mis	attribut	ed to the
speaker.	A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will be j	posted on
the Comn	nittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e.	

Workload at the FCC."

And part of their conclusion was, and I quote, "the commission faces significant challenges in its work including a significant backlog of unanswered petitions," and they went on to note that more than 5,300 petitions, which was 20 percent of the total petitions at that time, had been at the FCC for more than two years, and that more than 3,000 petitions had been pending before the FCC for more than five years.

And I think that's -- it speaks volumes to the ability of small entities who don't have on-staff attorneys to keep after this process to see their petitions for needed relief through to a conclusion.

Mr. Johnson. And to give -- and to give our members and the American people some idea of what that means on the business side, I am told that this cost can be up to \$50,000 per year for a small company that, while they're awaiting resolution.

So you have got five years, that's \$250,000 out of that small business. That's a -- that's a big pot of money.

Mr. Gessner. Correct. That came from a more recent petition request where a small telephone company applied for relief, and while it was granted in about three years, they estimated the cost to be about \$50,000 a year, which for them is

	76
enou	gh to hire another full time associate to actually serve
cust	omers.
	Mr. Johnson. Okay. Well, thank you.
	Mr. Donovan, Mr. McKinley and Mr. Welch's bill, H.R. 2903,
embo	dies the spirit of our effort to close the digital divide
betw	een rural and urban areas.
	What kind of data is necessary to close this divide on
wire	less broadband coverage?
	Mr. Tim Donovan. Thank you, Congressman.
	As you know, the data that's currently on hand is not
refl	ecting the experience that you have. Your portion of Ohio
on t	he map looks like it's covered with service.
	I think you have explained to me before how that's not the
case	
	Mr. Johnson. We know that's not true.
	Mr. Tim Donovan. So what the bill does is it takes a look
at t	he services that are available in urban areas and uses that
as a	measuring stick to see what services should be available.
	With that in place, you can then collect data based on the
expe	rience that other Americans are having to make sure that
ther	e's services available to everyone.
	Mr. Johnson. Well, thank you.

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements

with spe	is is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statement hin may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the aker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted of Committee's website as soon as it is available.	ıe
	I wish we had more time to talk about it. But I understar	10
you	need to yield.	
	Thank you, Madame Chair.	
	Mrs. Blackburn. I thank the gentleman for yielding.	
	Mrs Brooks for three minutes, please	

Mrs. Brooks. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman.

I am going to follow up on what my colleague from Ohio just talked about, Mr. Donovan. Let's go on and allow you to answer a bit with a bit more time.

What kind of analysis does the FCC do as to whether or not its USF policies are meeting the goals of the program and do we have information on how effective USF program is in ensuring that comparable service that you just started to talk about?

Thank you, Congresswoman, and thank you Mr. Tim Donovan. for joining the bill as a co-sponsor. We appreciate the support.

So right now, as Mr. Welch noted during his opening statement, there is no determination of what is reasonably comparable services.

So the FCC collects data. They collect Form 477 data. collected, in the case of mobility fund, a special one-time collection of data.

But they're not then going back and applying any sort of

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1530

1531

1532

1533

1534

1535

1536

1537

1538

1539

1540

1541

1542

1543

1544

1545

1546

1547

1548

1549

1550

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
report card over whether that's working, whether we are getting
the job done.
And so we are continuing to move forward and as we are talking
frequently about 5G, there are places in the country that don'
have any G.
We have a saying at CCA that you have to keep up with you
G's and when you start falling behind on the digital divide i
gets harder and harder to catch up.
That becomes even more important as so many different aspect.
of our society are connected.
Mrs. Brooks. Is it fair to say if we if we do resolve
some of these issues involving the digital divide, whether it is
the targeted support through the USF program and siting reform
access to spectrum, is it possible that those rural areas wil
jump to 5G?

Mr. Tim Donovan. Well, you just nailed the three-legged stool of infrastructure, spectrum, and USF. Those are all important to solving these problems in rural areas.

And yes, so carriers that are now looking at making sure you get to the 4G services or looking at how you can layer on top of that at the same time the 5G services, whether it's through technology or they're using different spectrum bands to make sure

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
7 9
that the same services are available in urban areas and rural
areas.
Mrs. Brooks. Thank you. I will yield back the balance of
my time. I know we are trying to get other members in. Thank
you.
Mrs. Blackburn. I thank the gentlelady.
Mr. Collins, you're recognized.
Mr. Collins. Thank you, Madam Chair.
My question is directed to David Donovan.
Thank you again for your testimony today on private radio.
This bill is going to create a database of licensed radio
operators. I call that a common sense kind of bill.
I've bene battling this for years, primarily with our former
chair, Tom Wheeler who, frankly, as chair of the FCC did not seem
interested at all.
I can assure you Ajit Pai, our new FCC chair, does take this
seriously, to which I think we are going to see a big change.
You know, obviously, these pirate radio operators are
raising money. In some cases we have advertisers who have no idea
it's a pirate radio so, again, our common sense bill will let then
easily scan through and say oops, I am not going to be sending

money this way.

This is a preliminary, unedited tran	script. The statements
within may be inaccurate, incomplete,	or misattributed to the
speaker. A link to the final, official tra	anscript will be posted on
the Committee's website as soon as it is	available.

So in the -- to keep this brief, I will just turn it over to you, David, to maybe comment on how this should work in helping deprive these stations of revenue.

Mr. David Donovan. Congressman, and thank you for your leadership on the issue.

I think one of the problems that you have in not just in New York but in Florida, in Boston, in Connecticut is that your illegal operators take on the aura of a legitimate station.

As a result, advertisers, including folks buying political time, have no idea that they're buying advertising on an illegal station.

I think it would be important for the FCC to create transparency, which would be to list all the stations in a market that are in fact licensed by the FCC.

List all the stations that it knows are illegal, and that list, making it easily accessible so you don't have to dig down 12 layers into the FCC database, would make it -- a website that's publically available and easily accessible would go a long way towards advertisers understanding oh, okay, this person isn't licensed by the Federal Communications Commission.

And I think that transparency in the marketplace would be very, very important to helping to resolve those who are

within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted of the Committee's website as soon as it is available.
8
facilitating illegal pirate operations, sir. I think it's a
great idea.
Mr. Collins. And, hopefully, as you say, let's list the
illegal operators, hopefully under Chairman Ajit Pai, he'll b
putting them out of business instead of listing.
Mr. David Donovan. We hope. Absolutely we hope, and wit
the help of Congress to actually increase the enforcement tool
and your suggestion, I think that will go a long way.
Mr. Collins. Well, thank you for your testimony.
Madam Chair, I yield back.
Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.
I have questions that I am going to submit in the interest
of time and, Tim and Mr. Gessner, they'll come to you lookin
at the efforts we are doing on streamlining and how those, wit
the FCC, how that will help speed broadband deployment. But
will submit that.
Mr. Madigan, I've got one that will come to you. We wil
UC Mr. Tonko on for either submission or questions or a real quic
ask?
Mr. Tonko. Real quick ask, and I thank you for waiting
beyond to the subcommittee, Madam Chair.

I have several serious concerns with pirate radio and the

This is a preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within may be inaccura	te, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker. A link to the f	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Committee's website	as soon as	it is available	e.	

weakness of current enforcement, which, in turn, has encouraged pirate radio operators to continue undeterred.

For years now, I, along with many members of New York and New Jersey delegations, have voiced our concerns on this issue, yet pirate radio operators are as prevalent as every and their actions have been met with few consequences.

This legislation, obviously, comes in response to the growing number of pirate radio broadcasters in the region that are harming consumers and public safety.

According to complaints filed with the FCC, the number of pirate FM radio stations throughout New York City could outnumber the number of licensed operations while the problem in northern New Jersey may be equally as pervasive.

In Albany, we had a problem with private radio operators where a private -- a pirate radio station was interfering with another legitimate station and was a nuisance to my constituents who were exposed oftentimes to what was vulgar language.

I've worked on this legislation and am proud to have done so in a bipartisan way with Congressman Leonard Lance, and I hope that this committee will work and move this forward.

To Mr. Donovan -- Mr. David Donovan, what effect can pirate radio have on the emergency alert systems?

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

This is a preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within may be inaccurate	te, incom	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker. A link to the fi	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Committee's website	as soon as	it is available).	

	0.3
1662	Mr. David Donovan. What it does is it interferes with those
1663	who rely on the emergency alert system consumers who are
1664	listening to the radio.
1665	Pirate stations don't participate in the alert system and
1666	the pirate stations actually interfere with the EAS signals that
1667	consumers rely on.
1668	In addition to that, it also interferes with any important
1669	lifesaving news that follows up. You take it one step beyond,
1670	and apart the EAS, the interference to FAA frequencies is rather
1671	scary because the enforcement is post hoc.
1672	The interference occurs while the plane is trying to land,
1673	and then you have to go try to find the pirate, and those
1674	situations, taken together, create a very dangerous situation,
1675	sir.
1676	Mr. Tonko. Thank you for that clarification.
1677	Mrs. Blackburn. Mr. Tonko, I need to limit you to that
1678	question.
1679	Mr. Schrader has come in and they have called the vote. If
1680	you don't mind.
1681	Mr. Tonko. Okay. Thank you. Okay. Thank you.
1682	Mrs. Blackburn. The gentleman yields back.
1683	Mr. Schrader, you're recognized three minutes.

This is a prelin	minary, unedited	d transcript.	The statements
within may be i	inaccurate, incon	nplete, or mis	attributed to the
speaker. A link	to the final, offic	cial transcript	will be posted on
the Committee's	website as soon a	s it is availabl	e.

Mr. Schrader. Thank you, Madam Chair and Ranking Member Doyle, for allowing me to sit in.

Sorry I couldn't spend more time here throughout the hearing.

We are bouncing back and forth. We've got another hearing in the

Health Subcommittee that we are talking care of, too.

I just want a few minutes to speak in favor of H.R. 3787, the Small Entity Regulatory Relief Opportunity Act. It's a bill I am working on with Representative Latta. I want to thank him and his team for all their help and support. Good bipartisan effort here.

Every member of the committee wants to expand rural broadband. The answer to doing that isn't necessarily always more money. Burdensome regulations harm many of these small entities' ability to grow, expand, and hire new employees, and maybe we can do something to alleviate some of those burdens.

Hopefully, by establishing some of these streamlined procedures in the bill, by obtaining waivers from regulations that are often unnecessary and not even designed for these smaller entities we provide a little relief for our small telecom providers, with a little greater certainty and efficiency to help them to continue to do the things they do best for our very, very small and rural communities.

This is a	preliminary,	unedited	transcript.	The	statements
within ma	y be inaccura	te, incomp	plete, or mis	attrib	uted to the
speaker.	A link to the fi	inal, offici	al transcript	will b	e posted on
the Comm	ittee's website	as soon as	it is available	e.	

At the basic level, we are finally recognizing locally-based small business do not have the same ability as major corporations to comply.

Many of these small entities have an entire workforce of, like, eight or 10 people. They don't have the resources or floor of lawyers to file petition after petition with the FCC.

I think it's incumbent we all recognize and acknowledge that these unique -- that there are unique business regulatory challenges for these small entities and we are trying to help them with this bill here and would hope the committee and Congress and gentleman out there would share and consider this bill.

Thank you very much.

Mrs. Blackburn. And I think there's been plenty of support expressed for that today, and also Representative Stewart has entered the room and we thank him for the work that he has done on the suicide bill.

Seeing there are no further members wishing to ask questions for the panel, I want to thank our witnesses very much for your patience today, for being here with us.

As we've said, it is a busy day, just a few things going on, both in Energy and Commerce and on the floor.

Before I conclude, I ask unanimous consent to enter the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

87

Mrs. Blackburn. Pursuant to committee rules, I remind
members that they have 10 business days to submit additional
questions for the record and I ask that each of you witnesses
respond to these questions within 10 business days upon receipt
of those questions.
Seeing no further business to come before the subcommittee
today, the committee is adjourned.
[The Bills H.R. 2345, H.R. 2903, Enhanced Penalties for
Pirate Radio, and H.R. 3787 follow:]

**********INSERT 8******

1734

1735

1736

1737

1738

1739

1740

1741

1742

1743

This is a preliminary, unedited transcript. The statements within may be inaccurate, incomplete, or misattributed to the speaker. A link to the final, official transcript will be posted on the Committee's website as soon as it is available.

88

1745

1746

[Whereupon, at 12:42 p.m., the committee was adjourned.]